



**PLANS COMMITTEE**

This meeting will be recorded and the sound recording subsequently made available via the Council's website: [charnwood.gov.uk/pages/committees](https://www.charnwood.gov.uk/pages/committees)

Please also note that under the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 that other people may film, record, tweet or blog from this meeting. The use of any images or sound recordings is not under the Council's control.

To: Councillors Bentley (Vice-Chair), Campsall, Capleton, Charles, Forrest, Fryer (Chair), Gerrard, A. Gray, Grimley, Hamilton, Lowe, Ranson and Savage  
(For attention)

All other members of the Council  
(For information)

You are requested to attend the meeting of the Plans Committee to be held in the Preston Room, Woodgate Chambers, Woodgate, Loughborough on Thursday, 21st July 2022 at 5.00 pm for the following business.

Chief Executive

Southfields  
Loughborough

20th July 2022

**AGENDA**

5. PLANNING APPLICATIONS 2

The list of planning applications to be considered at the meeting is appended.

**For Plans Committee – 21<sup>st</sup> July 2022**

**Additional items received since the report was drafted.**

**Pages** 17-23

**Site Address:** 20 Lilac Close, Loughborough

**Item No.** 2

**P.A. No.** P/22/0653/2

Further comments have been received from no 22 Lilac Close following the publication of the Plans Committee report (the full comment is available to view on the Councils Planning Explorer website). The comments reiterate the previous objections, the concerns being that the fence at a height of 2.4m will be overbearing, have an oppressive visual impact on the garden and result in loss of light. The comments raise concerns that many of the comments made within the report are not planning related and that the report is biased.

Since the publication of the report an additional letter has been received from Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust which addresses the question 'could the fence could be lowered to a height of 6 feet?'. This letter contains sensitive personal information which cannot be made publicly available; however it concludes due to the specific needs of the householders the fence cannot be reduced in height.

### **Officer Response**

The further objection is noted. No new material considerations have been raised by these comments and therefore the appraisal of the scheme remains as stated in the Plans Committee report.

### **Recommendation**

No change to original recommendation

---